Characterization of imidazolate-bridged Cu(II)–Zn(II) heterodinuclear and Cu(II)–Cu(II) homodinuclear hydroperoxo complexes as reaction intermediate models of Cu,Zn–SOD

Hideki Ohtsu,*a* **Shinobu Itoh,***b* **Shigenori Nagatomo,***c* **Teizo Kitagawa,***c* **Seiji Ogo,***c* **Yoshihito Watanabe***c* **and Shunichi Fukuzumi****a*

a Department of Material and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail: fukuzumi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Osaka City University, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

c Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th March 2000, Accepted 19th April 2000 Published on the Web 25th May 2000

Imidazolate-bridged Cu(II)–Zn(II) heterodinuclear and **Cu(II)–Cu(II) homodinuclear hydroperoxo complexes are generated in the reactions between imidazolate-bridged** heterodinuclear and homodinuclear complexes and H_2O_2 in **the presence of triethylamine base and characterized spectroscopically as reaction intermediate models of Cu,Zn– SOD.**

Copper–zinc superoxide dismutase (Cu,Zn–SOD) contains an imidazolate-bridged $Cu(n)-Zn(n)$ heterodinuclear metal center in its active site.1–6 This enzyme catalyses a very rapid two-step dismutation of superoxide to dioxygen and hydrogen peroxide through an alternate reduction and oxidation of the active-site copper ion as shown in Scheme 1.5–7 An outer-sphere electron transfer from superoxide to the $Cu(II)$ center occurs to produce O_2 and a Cu(i) center which may be oxidized by another molecule of superoxide in the presence of protons to produce $H₂O₂$ *via* a hydroperoxo–Cu(II) species (Scheme 1).^{6,7} The hydroperoxo–copper (n) species is a key intermediate in biological oxidations catalyzed by copper enzymes including SOD. However, hydroperoxo–copper (II) complexes have been rarely characterized,8 and there has been no report on the characterization of SOD model hydroperoxo–copper(II) complexes.

Several imidazolate-bridged heterodinuclear complexes have so far been reported as models of Cu,Zn–SOD using two independent mononuclear complexes and a bridging imidazolate ring.⁹ However, the lack of binding site of O_2 ⁻ in these dinuclear complexes has precluded the characterization of the hydroperoxo–copper(II) intermediate. We have recently prepared imidazolate-bridged $Cu(n)-Zn(n)$ heterodinuclear and $Cu(n)-Cu(n)$ homodinuclear complexes with a newly designed dinucleating ligand, Hbdpi ${Hbdpi = 4,5-bis}$ [di(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]imidazole}.10

These SOD model complexes have a pentacoordinate structure at each metal ion including a solvent molecule which

Hbdni [Cu₂(bdpi)(MeCN)₂] [CuZn(bdpi)(MeCN)₂]

can be readily replaced by a substrate. We report herein the first characterization of SOD model hydroperoxo-Cu(II) intermediates generated by the reactions of hydrogen peroxide with imidazolate-bridged $Cu(n)-Zn(n)$ heterodinuclear and $Cu(n)$ – $Cu(II)$ homodinuclear complexes.

The addition of a large excess of hydrogen peroxide to a MeOH solution of [CuZn(bdpi)(MeCN)₂](ClO₄)₃·2MeCN 1 or $[Cu_2(bdpi)(MeCN)_2](ClO_4)_3$ ^{\cdot}MeCN \cdot 3H₂O **2** in the presence of a base such as triethylamine at -80 °C resulted in slight color change from greenish blue to green. The absorption spectra of the resulting solutions are shown in Fig. 1, where an intense band at 360 nm is observed in each case.

No decay of these bands was observed at -80 °C but they disappeared at room temperature. The absorbance of the

Fig. 2 Resonance Raman spectra obtained with 351 nm excitation of (a) a large excess H_2 ¹⁶O₂ and (b) H_2 ¹⁸O₂ in an MeOH solution of complex 1 in the presence of triethylamine at –80 °C.

intermediate **3** derived from complex **1** is about half that of intermediate **4** derived from the same concentration of **2**.

The resonance Raman spectrum of **3** in MeOH measured at -80 °C (laser excitation wavelength 351 nm) shows (Fig. 2) a strong resonance-enhanced Raman band at 848 cm $^{-1}$, which shifted to 802 cm⁻¹ ($\Delta v = 46$ cm⁻¹) when ¹⁸O-labeled H₂O₂ was used. The resonance Raman spectrum of **4** was essentially the same as that of **3** (H_2 ¹⁶O₂: 848 cm⁻¹, H_2 ¹⁸O₂: 802 cm⁻¹, Δv $= 46$ cm⁻¹). The *v* value (848 cm⁻¹) of **3** is slightly lower than the value (856 cm^{-1}) reported for the mononuclear hydroperoxo–Cu(II) complex $[Cu(II)(bbppa)(OOH)](ClO₄)$ [bppa = bis(6-pivalamide-2-pyridylmethyl)(2-pyridylmethyl)amine], which is the only example of a structurally characterized hydroperoxo–Cu(II) complex.^{8c} The Δv value of **3** corresponds exactly to that of $[Cu(n)(bppa)(OOH)](ClO₄)$ (46 cm⁻¹) in H₂¹⁸O₂.^{8*c*} The hydroperoxo–Cu(II) complex also has an intense absorption band at 380 nm which is slightly red-shifted as compared to **3** (Fig. 1).8*c* The intense absorption band has been assigned to the charge-transfer transition of the hydroperoxo group to copper(II) ion (LMCT).8*c*

The resonance Raman and absorption spectra in comparison with those of $[Cu(n)(bppa)(OOH)](ClO₄)$ strongly indicate formation of hydroperoxo–Cu(II) intermediates, [CuZn- $(bdpi)(OOH)]$ ⁺ **3** and $[Cu₂(bdpi)(OOH)₂]$ ⁺ **4** in the reactions of **1** and **2** with H_2O_2 . This assignment is consistent with the half intensity in the absorption band at 360 nm of **3** as compared to **4** (Fig. 1), since **4** contains two Cu–OOH moieties.

Formation of hydroperoxo– $Cu(II)$ intermediates was further confirmed by the ESI mass spectra of **3** and **4** in MeOH at -80 °C, which exhibited signals at m/z 651 and 681, respectively.11 The observed mass and isotope patterns corresponded to the ions $[CuZn(bdpi)(OOH)]^+$ and $[Cu₂(bd \overline{pi}$)(OOH)₂]⁺, respectively. The use of H₂¹⁸O₂ instead of H₂¹⁶O₂ resulted in the expected change of mass numbers of **3** and **4** to *m/z* 655 and 685, respectively.

The EPR spectrum of **3** was typical of a trigonal-bipyramidal copper complex ($g_{\parallel} = 2.09$, $g_{\perp} = 2.22$, $A_{\parallel} = 8.9$ mT and A_{\perp} = 11.3 mT at 77 K in MeOH). These EPR parameters are quite close to those of **1** ($g_{\parallel} = 2.10$, $g_{\perp} = 2.25$, $\hat{A_{\parallel}} = 10.4$ mT and A_{\perp} $= 11.5$ mT at 77 K in MeOH) and the X-ray structure of 1 shows a trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment of the

copper ion.10 Thus, the copper coordination site of **1** occupied by a solvent molecule may be substituted with hydroperoxide in the reaction with H_2O_2 in the presence of triethylamine base without changing the copper coordination geometry. The EPR spectrum of **4** gave a fairly broad signal centered at $g \approx 2.13$ without hyperfine structure, and this spectral feature is the same as that of **2**. This also indicates that the structure of the intermediate **4** maintains the imidazolate-bridged structure.

These results clearly demonstrate that hydroperoxo– $Cu(II)$ complexes **3** and **4** are generated from imidazolate-bridged $Cu(n)-Zn(n)$ and $Cu(n)-Cu(n)$ SOD model complexes 1 and 2 which utilize their binding sites by reaction with H_2O_2 in the presence of triethylamine base. The hydroperoxo–Cu(II) complexes characterized in this study could serve as SOD intermediate models, providing valuable insight into the SOD catalytic mechanism.

We are grateful to Professor Osamu Yamauchi, Nagoya University, for valuable discussions. This work was partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research Priority Area (Nos. 11228205, 11136229, 11116219 and 11118244) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports of Japan.

Notes and references

- 1 I. Fridovich, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1989, **264**, 7761; I. Fridovich, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 1995, **64**, 97.
- 2 I. Bertini, L. Banci and M. Piccioli, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **100**, 67.
- 3 J. A. Tainer, E. D. Getzoff, K. M. Beem, J. S. Richardson and D. C. Richardson, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1982, **160**, 181.
- 4 J. A. Tainer, E. D. Getzoff, J. S. Richardson and D. C. Richardson, *Nature*, 1983, **306**, 284.
- 5 P. J. Hart, M. M. Balbirnie, N. L. Ogihara, A. M. Nersissian, M. S. Weiss, J. S. Valentine and D. A. Eisenberg, *Biochemistry*, 1999, **38**, 2167.
- 6 E. M. Fielden, P. B. Roberts, R. C. Bray, D. J. Lowe, G. N. Mautner, G. Rotilio and L. Calabrese, *Biochem. J.*, 1974, **139**, 49.
- 7 L. M. Ellerby, D. E. Cabelli, J. A. Graden and J. S. Valentine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 6556.
- 8 (*a*) K. D. Karlin, R. Ghosh, R. W. Cruse, A. Farooq, Y. Gultneh, R. R. Jacobson, N. J. Blackburn, R. W. Strange and J. Zubieta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 6769; (*b*) R. R. Tahir, N. N. Murthy, K. D. Karlin, N. J. Blackburn, S. N. Shaikh and J. Zubieta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 3001; (*c*) A. Wada, M. Harata, K. Hasegawa, K. Jitsukawa, H. Masuda, M. Mukai, T. Kitagawa and H. Einaga, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 798.
- 9 M. Sato, S. Nagae, M. Uehara and J. Nakaya, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 1661; Q. Lu, Q. H. Luo, A. B. Dai, Z. Y. Zhou and G. Z. Hu, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1990, 1429; M. Zongwan, C. Dong, T. Wenxia, Y. Kaibei and L. Li, *Polyhedron*, 1992, **11**, 191; J.-L. Pierre, P. Chautemps, S. Refaif, C. Beguin, A. E. Marzouki, G. Serratrice, E. Saint-Aman and P. Rey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 1965; Z.-W. Mao, M.-Q. Chen, X.-S. Tan, J. Liu and W.-X. Tang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 2889.
- 10 H. Ohtsu, Y. Shimazaki, A. Odani, O. Yamauchi, S. Itoh and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, in press.
- 11 The flow pass (fused silica capillary, *ca*. 400 mm long, 0.075 mm inner diameter) in the system was precooled by flushing with MeOH cooled at –80 °C in an acetone/dry ice bath prior to measurements. A solution of 3 or 4 cooled at -80 °C was delivered to the sprayer through the fused silica capillary under a constant Ar pressure (0.1 MPa). The sprayer was held at a potential of -4.5 kV, and compressed N₂ was employed to assist liquid nebulization. The orifice potential was maintained at -25 V. The positive ion ESI mass spectra were measured in the range *m/z* 100–1000.